UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TIMMY O'NEIL CHARITY,
Petitioner,
ORDER:

(1) CONSTRUING ACTION AS A PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2254, and

Respondent.

Respondent.
(2) DISMISSING CASE WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Presently before the Court is Timmy O'Neil Charity's ("Petitioner") petition for writ of habeas corpus. Although Petitioner filed this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, he is a state prisoner attacking the validity of a state court conviction and sentence imposed by the state of California. Specifically, Petitioner contends that the California Department of Corrections breached the terms of his plea agreement in it's computation of Petitioner's minimum eligible parole date. (Pet. at 3.) Therefore, Petitioner may not proceed under section 2241, but may only proceed with a habeas action in federal court under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. White v. Lambert, 370 F.3d 1002, 1006-07 (9th Cir. 2004) (holding that section 2254 is the proper jurisdictional basis for a habeas petition brought by an individual "in custody pursuant to a state court judgment"). The Court therefore, will construe this action as one brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

//

2

1

3

45

67

8

9 10

1112

13

1415

161718

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

## FAILURE TO SATISFY FILING FEE REQUIREMENT

Petitioner has failed to pay the \$5.00 filing fee and has failed to move to proceed in forma pauperis. This Court cannot proceed until Petitioner has either paid the \$5.00 filing fee or qualified to proceed in forma pauperis. See Rule 3(a), 28 U.S.C. foll. § 2254.

## FAILURE TO USE PROPER FORM

Additionally, a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus must be submitted in accordance with the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. See Rule 2(c), 28 U.S.C. foll. § 2254. In order to comply with the Local Rules, the petition must be submitted upon a court-approved form and in accordance with the instructions approved by the Court. Presently, Petitioner has submitted an application for writ of habeas corpus on a non-approved form.

## **CONCLUSION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Court **CONSTRUES** the instant action as a habeas petition brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The Court **DISMISSES** the action for Petitioner's failure to either pay the \$5 filing fee or move to proceed in forma pauperis, and failure to use the proper form. If Petitioner wishes to proceed with this case, he must submit, **no later than October 21**, **2008**, a copy of this Order with the \$5.00 fee or with adequate proof of his inability to pay the fee, **AND** a First Amended Petition on a Court approved form. **The Clerk of Court is directed to send a blank Southern District of California In Forma Pauperis Application, and a blank § 2254 First Amended Petition form to Petitioner along with a copy of this Order.** 

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**DATED: August 25, 2008** 

Honorable Janis L. Sammartino United States District Judge